

Regular City Council Meeting Minutes City Hall Council Chambers, 2660 Civic Center Drive Monday, July 20, 2020

Pursuant to Minn. Stat. 13.D.021, City Council members, City Staff, and members of the public participated in this meeting electronically due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

1. Roll Call

Mayor Roe called the meeting to order at approximately 7:45 p.m. Voting and Seating Order: Willmus, Groff, Etten, Laliberte and Roe. City Manager Patrick Trudgeon and City Attorney Mark Gaughan were also present.

2. Pledge of Allegiance

3. Approve Agenda

Groff moved, Etten seconded, approval of the agenda as presented.

Roll Call

Ayes: Willmus, Groff, Etten, Laliberte and Roe.

Nays: None.

4. Public Comment

Mayor Roe called for public comment by members of the audience on any non-agenda items.

Public Comment

Ms. Angel Byrne, 1894 Chatsworth Street North

Ms. Burn thanked Mayor Roe for taking public comment during the EDA portion of the meeting. Her comments will focus on the march and rally that happened on Sunday, July 19th. She wanted to recognize the work of Huda Yusof and the organizers of the rally and march because the amount of work done in 48 hours was incredible. She thought the amount of work done by them in 48 hours is more then she has seen from the City Council on this issue in the nine years she has lived in the city. Because condemning these kinds of acts, these crimes and terroristic threats, is not enough, and she did not know what it is going to take to see that. She rejected the notion that we do not understand why people are doing this. These are a warning to all who live here that they need to stay silent and fall in line with the status quo. That is what they are telling us, telling us to quit speaking out, to quit standing in solidarity with each other and she would hope that she did not need to

remind the Council what the status quo is. But just in case, the city is called Racist Roseville for a reason.

Ms. Burn indicated she is not talking about the redlining when the city was founded or the civil rights era, she is talking about now. She has had multiple friends and colleagues tell her they refuse to come to Roseville to shop because of the racism they have experienced when they have set foot within the borders. For years now, they have refused to come to Roseville and the Council has prided themselves on the commerce that has been built in the city, yet the Council has allowed this behavior to continue. The Council's statements are not enough. She explained it shames her when she hears those comments from her friends, her family, and the Council should take their comments, feedback, and work as a gift. Ms. Burn noted there is the internet and there is no excuse for this. She did the work and continues to do the work to learn. The citizens have begged the Council to do the work for years and it is the Council's job to follow through on what is asked of them.

Ms. Cari Gelle

Ms. Gelle indicated she wanted to say a few things to Councilmember Willmus because he was not at the EDA meeting. She wanted him to understand that her friends, family, neighbors, relatives of color in the City of Roseville do not feel safe. They do not feel safe and cannot sleep at night. She was asking the Council to make them feel safe.

Councilmember Willmus thanked Ms. Gelle for her comments and indicated he would watch the entire EDA meeting and whatever public comment was made at that time as well.

Ms. Jessica Beeler, 2491 Sheldon Street

Ms. Beeler wanted to comment regarding the gentleman who spoke regarding the Black Lives Matter signs on County Road B and saying that is a White on White crime because the family is White, which is actually not true because what the signs say is Black Lives Matter and so that is a White ally stepping forward to defend Black lives. That is not a White on White crime, it is a White on Black crime.

Ms. Kathy Ramundt, 1161 Laurie Road West

Ms. Ramundt suggested giving Councilmember Willmus the opportunity to make a statement similar to what the other Councilmembers made at the beginning of the EDA meeting. She thought he needed that opportunity to tell the public how he feels about what has been going on in the city.

Mr. John Kysylyczyn

Mr. Kysylyczyn asked that the Council follow decorum and thought it was inappropriate for members of the public to be calling out an individual Councilmember by name and asking him to speak. Comments are supposed to be directed to the Council as a whole.

Councilmember Willmus explained when he first learned of the incident on County Road B, it was on Friday. There is certainly a statement that came out and put forward through Administration that he whole-heartedly supports. He stated this is a terrible situation that

should not be going on and what everyone can do as a community is come together and show support and provide opportunity for folks that frankly do not have the same experience in Roseville that he has. They should be provided an opportunity to let the Council know and listen. He explained he did attend the protest and rally on Sunday and was pleased to see the turnout. These are steps the community needs to take and we do need to listen and hear and see where change is needed. He stated it is absolutely terrible to see these things happen in the community and he believed Roseville is better than this. Personally, for him, it is something that he does want the Council to listen to and does not want to step forward and say this is the solution because he does not know what the solution is. He thought as a community, the city needs to hear what those who have had different experiences are saying.

- 5. Recognitions, Donations, and Communications
- 6. Items Removed from Consent Agenda
- 7. Business Items
 - a. Public Hearing to Approve/Deny the transfer of the On-Sale and Sunday Intoxicating Liquor License for Old Chicago Taproom II, LLC dba Old Chicago Pizza & Taproom Located at 2100 Snelling Ave N #64

Assistant City Manager Rebecca Olson briefly highlighted this item as detailed in the Request for Council Action and related attachments dated July 20, 2020.

Mr. Childs representing Old Chicago addressed the Council.

Mr. Christopher Pirelli, General Manager for Old Chicago was at the meeting to answer questions.

Mr. Brandt Erwin, Attorney for the applicant was also at the meeting to answer questions. He also thanked Katie Bruno for all of her help with this matter.

Mayor Roe reviewed public hearing protocol and opened and closed the public hearing at approximately 8:21 p.m. for the purpose of receiving public input on the above-referenced transfer of an On-Sale and Sunday Intoxicating Liquor License; with no one indicating a desire to speak.

Etten moved, Laliberte seconded, approval of the request from Old Chicago Taproom II, LLC dba Old Chicago Pizza & Taproom, with an Outdoor Patio Endorsement located at 2100 Snelling Ave North #64 pending successful background checks.

Roll Call

Ayes: Willmus, Groff, Etten, Laliberte and Roe.

Nays: None.

b. Public Hearing to Approve/Deny a 3.2% On Sale Liquor License for Hidden Puzzle Rooms LLC dba Hidden MN, located at 1975 Oakcrest Ave Suite 1 Assistant City Manager Rebecca briefly highlighted this item as detailed in the Request for Council Action and related attachments dated July 20, 2020.

Mayor Roe reviewed public hearing protocol and opened and closed the public hearing at approximately 8:24 p.m. for the purpose of receiving public input on the above-referenced 3.2% On Sale Liquor License; with no one indicating a desire to speak.

Groff moved, Willmus seconded, approval of Hidden Puzzle Rooms, LLC dba Hidden MN for a 3.2% On-Sale Liquor License, pending a successful background investigation.

Roll Call

Ayes: Willmus, Groff, Etten, Laliberte and Roe.

Nays: None.

c. Discuss a Mandatory Face Covering Order for the City of Roseville

City Manager Patrick Trudgeon briefly highlighted this item as detailed in the Request for Council Action and related attachments dated July 20, 2020.

Councilmember Groff indicated he supported this, thought Mr. Trudgeon did a good job on mirroring Edina with this, and that it covers what was spoken about and what he has heard from the community. He would like to talk more about the educational component.

Mr. Trudgeon reviewed the FAQ that will be distributed to the public and other educational materials that will be provided to the businesses in the community.

Councilmember Willmus expressed appreciation to staff for putting this together and stated it is something he supported.

Councilmember Laliberte indicated she would like to hear from the community regarding level of enforcement and penalties, which she thought was the most difficult part of this item. She did not want to create something that turns neighbor against neighbor.

City Attorney Gaughan thought the form of citations would be misdemeanor charges but there is a lot of discretion and it could be petty misdemeanor.

Mayor Roe explained he had discussed this with Mr. Trudgeon previously and one of the discussions was the exemption for facilities operated by the County, State or Federal government. His understanding is that cities cannot order higher levels of government to do certain things because of the pecking order of governments so

the city is not in the position to order any level above them to comply with the city's order. Sort of parallel to that is the exemption for public and private school facilities. He was not sure that a School District falls into that so he wanted to follow up on that issue.

Mr. Trudgeon indicated he did talk to the City Manager in Edina and asked why the schools were exempted. The response he received was that the School Board Members asked not to be included as the Board would be considering what the schools would be doing and requiring for their school and facilities. He noted he had talked to Superintendent Sicoli about this and is something that is still under consideration. It is not at a point where the School District is sure what it is going to do but is taking this very seriously.

Mayor Roe wondered if language should be added to public and private school facilities that mirrors some of the other exemptions where ultimately if the State and/or that entity itself is providing guidance related to mask wearing, it can exempt them from the city requiring them because the school is being directed otherwise.

Mr. Trudgeon explained as he looked at other cities, Minneapolis, and St. Paul, had the same language that exempted the schools, places of worship, and churches.

Mayor Roe asked on the places of worship, is that getting into the question of regulating religious institutions or is that strictly because there may be other standards out there.

City Attorney Gaughan explained the sensitivity towards regulating religious institutions is the primary concern there.

Mayor Roe offered an opportunity for public comment with no one coming forward.

Public Comment

Mayor Roe noted the city received a significant amount of communication from the public in the form of emails, telephone calls and messages and other means of communication and a significant amount of it has been expressed in favor of mask regulations but have also received some in opposition as well.

Ms. Cari Gelle, 777 Lowell Avenue

Ms. Gelle indicated she was in favor and supported the ordinance.

Mr. Etienne Djevi, 1056 Sharon Street W.

Mr. Djevi explained he was in favor of this resolution. He noted he practiced in infectious diseases. He noted he has personally seen approximately 150 different

individuals with COVID, and 550 individual encounters with young people struggling to breath. He has seen some individuals needing to be intubated, some dying, even young children, with no parent because the parents have died from COVID. He gave firsthand experiences he has seen in the hospital. He thought the Council and Mayor were doing the right thing by trying to protect and save lives.

Mr. Djevi stated there is current evidence that one mask decreases transmission. The concept is simple. It is not a surprise that with all of the money we have in this country, we are doing as poorly as we are compared to some other countries. The problem is that there is not a coordinated response and science seems to be the enemy in the midst of a pandemic. He thanked the Council for taking this important step, noting if the City of Roseville is the only one doing it then it will not be as effective unless it comes from the whole State. He urged the Council to talk to the neighboring cities to do the same so there is a coordinated effort while the City waits for the Governor to make a decision on that. As far as enforcement, he felt that minority people will be targeted more, and he would like for something to be done in that direction when it comes to enforcement.

Ms. Patricia Wood

Ms. Wood explained as a business owner in the city, as far as the masks go, she fully supports the mask mandate as it will make it easier for businesses to enforce the businesses' individual preferences. She wondered if there was any information or if the city could provide de-escalation methods for when there is push back.

Ms. Angela Byrne, 1894 Chatsworth Street N.

Ms. Burns thanked the Council for putting this draft together and indicated she was pleased that it includes office buildings as well. She noted her personal place of business is having a one-in, one-out for ten minutes at a time but there is no mask mandate for the office building. By doing it at a city level, it will make it easier for businesses in the city. She wanted to echo Mr. Djevi's concerns with enforcement. She is currently in a group where there have been members of the public, specifically Black and Brown people confused for robbers wearing masks. She thought the de-escalation recommendation was a good idea as well for the store owners but if the Roseville Police Department (RPD) is called in, there needs to be a very pointed directive from the Council that this will not be abused in that manner and she expected to see RPD de-escalating if this Ordinance is being abused unnecessarily by anyone in the city, specifically store owners.

Mr. John Kysylyczyn, 3083 Victoria St.

Mr. Kysylyczyn explained he reviewed the proposed mask resolution and while he understood this is an election year, frankly he found this kind of misleading to tell people that the city has a law, imply there is a law, or that there is some strong, strenuous, or a mild enforcement. He noted this resolution is not an ordinance and not a law. He would look at this as a recommendation only that has no force of law, there is no ticket that may be written for violating because it is not an ordinance

and this is not some fine with an appearance in District Court. To be clear, this is not a law.

Mr. Kysylyczyn explained there is a process for passive laws, and this is not it. The statement about trespassing from private property, he thought, has been discussed and if a business owner wanted to tell the police to leave their private property or if he wanted the police to leave his private property, the police would have to comply with that in most cases. He thought an administrative offense is problematic, is a fake city ticket that is not recognized by the Minnesota Court System. In his opinion, those administrative tickets prey on minorities and low-income people who cannot afford an attorney to properly advise them. Mr. Kysylyczyn noted with statements indicating the city may enforce any relevant and applicable State laws, of course the city can enforce any laws that are on the books today.

Mr. Kysylyczyn thought stripping a business of its license if it does not comply with this particular resolution was questionable because as the Council knows, there are certain regulations to get licenses, there are processes in order to revoke those licenses, and this resolution almost pretends that those processes do not exist, yet they do. Also, he found it problematic that the city is trying to make the belief that the Council has the legal authority to address public health issues. For example, under Minnesota Statutes Chapter 145-A, the City of Roseville has no public health authority or staff or budget and because Ramsey County has a public health authority. Also, Roseville is a Plan B Statutory City so it would be illegal for the city to have its own public health authority and/or attempt to exercise public health laws. He noted this is addressed in Chapter 145-A, Section 12.

Mr. Kysylyczyn thought everyone should be honest with each other and tell people, so there are a number of other cities that have passed this, and it has passed for political purposes. The city should be honest and tell residents that if residents want government to address public health issues, masks or otherwise, the residents should contact the County Commissioner's and Governor's office. It is the Ramsey County Health Department and the Minnesota Department of Health that actually have the legal authority to address these health issues. He asked that the city respect the process and quit pretending they have the authority. He noted he has heard the legal comments and lot of dancing around the issues but public health laws are enforced by the County and by the State. That is the way the system works so the city should tell people to lobby the appropriate level of government and move on.

Mr. Kysylyczyn thought this was not going to get enforced, noting he has been in Minneapolis and it is not enforced there and is not going to be enforced in Roseville except on a panel field basis or using it to target people. As other people have said, this is a right tool to target certain individuals, whomever that may be.

Ms. Clare Sorman, 2837 Churchill Street

Ms. Sorman explained she is the Vice President of the Emmet D. Williams Elementary PTA, a parent of two sons in the Roseville School District, and on behalf of the PTA and the parents in the area who she has spoken to, they are overwhelmingly in support of this measure. She thought if people really want to entertain the thought of their kids going back to school in any fashion, the pandemic needs to be flattened. Something needs to actually be done about it and put it to the test. She noted all of the minds behind this, the epidemiologists, the medical professionals, the school professionals, are saying that a ninety-five percent mask rate would mean in six to eight weeks it would be a much safer atmosphere and everyone would be better equipped for the kids to go back to class. As a person who has been involved in education and advocacy for many years, she cannot speak loudly enough for the necessity of this measure. She disagreed with the scare tactics of the previous speaker in saying that it is all being done for political reasons; this is a pandemic and is not a political issue. It is about protecting the kids, themselves, families, and all of the citizens. She noted if people cannot find in their hearts the decency to understand that this is a real issue facing them, and the science to back it up to improve public health, then why would they not want to do that.

Mr. Steve Gjerdingen

Mr. Gjerdingen asked if there are any other cities doing this with medium density/high density residential buildings where the requirement is to wear masks in the hallways of those buildings. He knew that for the most part, the residential side of this can cross the line a little bit. The other question he had is what the city's plan is to get off this. He knew there was a plan to review this on December 30, 2020 and he has also heard the word vaccine mentioned, which is not guaranteed. He asked if the city has thought about the consequences from doing this as immunity systems will be weakened by being covered all of the time, which is a concern for people too. He wondered if the Council has thought about those things.

With no one else desiring to speak, Mayor Roe closed the public hearing at approximately 8:53 p.m.

Council Discussion

Mayor Roe reviewed some of the questions brought up in the public comment.

City Attorney Gaughan explained Chapter 12 of Minnesota Statutes provides for the Mayor and local government the rights to make emergency orders, specifically in times of pandemic. In regard to the issues of trespassing, there is not public health provisions of law and it is a standalone provisions of law. He indicated he did not have any issues with the legality of the framework set up here.

Mayor Roe raised the issue of the common areas in multi-family dwellings and asked if staff had some thought about that issue.

Regular City Council Meeting Monday, July 20, 2020 Page 9

Mr. Trudgeon explained this is modeled after the City of Edina, they have the requirement in public areas of multi-family residential buildings, elevators, public hallways, stairwells, and common areas incorporated into their ordinance. Minnetonka and Excelsior require the same thing.

Mayor Roe asked if city staff has thought about providing information to business owners for de-escalation. He thought that was excellent advice from the business owners that were heard tonight and encouraged staff to look into that matter. He noted the December 31st is expiration date, pending anything happening in any of the other three possible ways to end this order. At that time, he thought it would be fair to say there will be evidence as to how things have gone, perhaps not just with Roseville's order but more broadly with other cities that have adopted them along with more public health advice to glean from. Or, perhaps there will be a State order in effect that will take the decision making out of the city's hands at that point. He understood the questions relating to the notion of hurting immunity and would defer to the public health experts in that regard.

Groff moved, Willmus seconded, adoption of Resolution No. 11725 entitled, "Resolution to Amend Local Emergency Order to Require the use of Face Coverings within the City of Roseville, Minnesota," beginning at 12:01a.m. on July 27, 2020.

Council Discussion

Councilmember Groff thought Dr. Djevi's comments were spot on and this was a health crisis in the country. So, it is only logical to do everything possible as a city to protect the residents and everyone should want to protect each other. The city is only asking people to put on a mask, this is not a huge ask of people. The data now is showing the mask protects other people from the virus. He thought this was a very logical thing and thanked staff for putting this together so quickly.

Councilmember Willmus concurred with Councilmember Groff's comments.

Councilmember Etten agreed to listening to experts and Mr. Djevi is certainly an expert in addition to what is being heard from the CDC and the State's own health department. He agreed the city needs to follow its guidance and help protect the people in the city and people visiting the city. He would like to have discussion around the multi-family housing, noting he found Edina's fairly extensive paragraph around that and did not know what people thought about requirements in that area. He did not have a firm answer regarding that but knew some of the buildings in the city are already like that, such as assisted living facilities.

Councilmember Laliberte wanted to be sure that staff was comfortable with the questions that were asked of the Council. She thought on the topic of the multifamily and hallways and common areas, it will come down to enforcement by landlords and management, if there on a day-to-day basis. She did not want to put an

unfair burden on those who live in a rental facility or multi-family properties. Based on making her general comments about this mask action, she did want to say that she works in healthcare, she does not claim to be an expert, and would defer to Mr. Djevi and others who are working with COVID patients on a daily basis. But she has spent a good amount of time over the course of the last several months working on exactly this, protection, the PPE, and communication about what it takes to avoid community spread. She has spent a great amount of time today discussing the mask mandate and its effectiveness on a State and city level with infection prevention leadership at the company where she works. She believes that the virus is real, is changing, and the experts do not yet know everything there is to know. She understood to some that this is a media or political issue and data is used to fit a narrative in either and all directions. She thought the science was evolving and statistics are changing but as Councilmember Groff said, people unknowingly spread it to others.

Councilmember Laliberte stated she was concerned about not helping to support the businesses that have to enforce this or the businesses that maybe would not have put this in place but with the city's help will be able to protect the workers. The taxes are a concern in the city as she thought the city was losing revenue as a result of this virus. She noted that businesses are closing in communities all around them as a result of the shutdowns, changed behaviors of customers, and it is the commercial and retail tax base that helps keep the residential taxes where they are. If a business ends up being shuttered, people lose jobs and the city ends up with properties that fall into disrepair and take a while to turn into something new.

Councilmember Laliberte thought because of the number of people working and shopping in Roseville, it was a consideration that needed to be made. This does provide a bit of a false sense of security but she believed is something that should be made on a statewide level. Since that has not happened yet, the city is taking this step as the city did with Tobacco 21. She noted masks are one tool in a list of many precautions that need to be taken by folks; however, you cannot wear a mask and be hanging out two feet apart from each other and think that the mask alone is going to prevent community spread. She thought most people were thoughtful about this as it is not about your rights that may infringed on. It may appear that way or be perceived that way, but in her mind, it is really about others.

Councilmember Willmus stated he would be inclined to leave the multi-family section in the resolution.

Councilmember Groff echoed Councilmember Willmus' statement about leaving in that section as it could also be an infringement on those people living in the multi-family dwelling wanting masks to be worn because those residents also use the public spaces.

Mayor Roe thanked the Council for the feedback and discussion.

Councilmember Laliberte explained she talked to the City Manager about providing some tools to office buildings, property managers, and landlords, which is important for the city to do. She thought that included de-escalation tactics, which may be a simple document that businesses can print for people coming into their business that do not know about the mask mandate.

Councilmember Groff commented on the situation with the businesses losing money, he received comments from people indicating that because there was not a mask mandate in Roseville, people did not want to do business in the city so he thought it would be good for the businesses.

Mayor Roe thought some of the businesses in the community would like the city to have this in place so it would be easier for the business to require mask wearing. He would also like to take away the excuse from businesses as well that state there is no requirement for mask wearing so their customers and employees are not required to wear masks. The thought this would help protect the public as well. Mayor Roe stated he understood there is a lot of discussion about personal liberty but the bottom line is that this is an emergency order and in these times, there are some things that are exceptions and need to be justified on the basis of the pandemic. This is the authority the Council has under a special order related to public safety during a pandemic. He thought that was the authority the Council has and the right to do it.

Roll Call

Ayes: Willmus, Groff, Etten, Laliberte and Roe.

Nays: None.

d. Receive Civic Campus Master Plan Update

Public Works Director Marc Culver briefly highlighted this item as detailed in the Request for Council Action and related attachments dated July 20, 2020.

Mr. Bruce Schwartzman and Susan Morgan from BKV Group and Zan Associates gave a presentation on the City Campus Master Plan.

Councilmember Willmus asked from staff perspective, does one make more sense than the other from a design perspective.

Mr. Culver indicated he is trying to manage this project by looking at it holistically from the entire city's needs. From a somewhat biased perspective for the Public Works and Parks Department, site A and/or B, a variation of those, probably closer to site A, provides them with closest meeting the needs that are specified in the maintenance facility site and gets a total site access of about 6.5 acres. He explained that giving around 3 acres of yard space doubles what the department has now, still

keeps everything contiguous, and provides a lot of flexibility on the campus south of Woodhill. He thought overall, site A would provide the best layout for them.

Councilmember Etten indicated he was leaning towards the A and B site. He reviewed sites C and D and found those provide some rather significant negatives as to impacting some of movements and park spaces. He noted the big thing is that the city has to think very carefully on doing outreach about this. Sixty-five percent of the people taking the survey were 55 or older and over ninety-percent of the people where White so the city needs to think carefully about the next steps, what is being provided, and why this is being discussed at all because there was pushback during the survey on the cost. He felt the community needed to be consulted on this before anything is done.

Mayor Roe explained he did have some discussion with staff on his own preference, which is site C if it makes sense to maintain some, if not all, of the existing maintenance facility with a different purpose because those building exist and some have solar panels on top of them. Those buildings also have a lot of open space within each footprint that can be subdivided and dealt with in a lot of different ways.

Councilmember Laliberte liked the new look at the options, noting it gives the Council much more to think about. She shared the concerns about the costs and timing of this.

Mayor Roe offered an opportunity for public comment with no one coming forward.

Mayor Roe thanked Mr. Schwartzman and Ms. Morgan for the presentation.

e. Review 2021 Changes in Property Tax Base, Legislative Changes, and discussion on City Council 2021 Budget Goals

City Finance Director Michelle Pietrick briefly highlighted this item as detailed in the Request for Council Action and related attachments dated July 20, 2020.

Laliberte moved, Groff seconded, to extend the meeting beyond the 10:00 p.m. curfew to consider items 7E and 7F.

Roll Call

Ayes: Willmus, Groff, Etten, Laliberte and Roe.

Nays: None.

Mayor Roe offered an opportunity for public comment with no one indicating a desire to speak.

Mr. Trudgeon asked if the Council would like staff to include resident outreach content in a newsletter like was done last year.

Councilmember Laliberte indicated she wanted the city to do all the possible outreach on this item that can be done.

f. Receive the 2021-2040 Capital Improvement Plan

City Finance Director Michelle Pietrick briefly highlighted this item as detailed in the Request for Council Action and related attachments dated July 20, 2020.

Councilmember Etten asked if staff anticipated, other than potentially in water or something like that with rate considerations, if there would be any need for levy change around these items for this 2021 budget.

Ms. Pietrick indicated not for 2020, noting the water fund is the only one where the city will need some rate increases and staff is looking at strategies for moderating those increases.

Mayor Roe noted in the water fund, since 2010-2011, the city had a substantial increase in the water and sewer phase fees at that time to put the city on target to adequately fund water and sewer replacement costs over the next twenty years. He thought the city had been on target with that except for a couple of fairly substantial projects that were either moved forward or combined in a way that required the city to front some more spending the city had not necessarily anticipated in the plan in 2010-2011 timeframe. He agreed some adjustments need to be made at this time to make sure the city continues to stay on a good trajectory with that fund going forward.

Mayor Roe indicated this item will be coming back again with the budget.

- 8. Approve Minutes
- 9. Approve Consent Agenda
- 10. Future Agenda Review, Communications, Reports, and Announcements Council and City Manager

11. Adjourn

Willmus moved, Groff seconded, adjournment of the meeting at approximately 10:10 p.m.

Roll Call

Ayes: Willmus, Groff, Etten, Laliberte and Roe.

Nays: None.

Daniel J. Roe, Mayor

ATTEST:

Regular City Council Meeting Monday, July 20, 2020 Page 14

Patrick J. Trudgeon, City Manager